## Oklahoma Pardon and Parole Board Voting for March 2016

Table 1. Parole Docket, March 2016

|  | Docket Total |  | Favorable Votes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Non-Violent | 168 | $62.4 \%$ | 55 | $32.7 \%$ |
| Violent | 101 | $37.5 \%$ | 4 | $4.0 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 9} \%$ |

Table 2. Favorable Votes, by Member (n=269)

|  | Non-Violent (168) |  | Violent (101) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent | Number of <br> Favorable <br> Votes | Percent |
| Vanessa Price (Chair) | 36 | $21.4 \%$ | 2 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Patricia High (Vice-Chair) | 26 | 15.5 | 4 | 4.0 |
| Robert "Brett" Macy | 56 | 33.3 | 7 | 6.9 |
| Thomas Gillert | 63 | 37.5 | 13 | 12.9 |
| William Latimer | 54 | 32.1 | 16 | 15.8 |
| BOARD CONSENSUS TOTAL | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 3. Protests, by Type (n=269)

|  | Victim | District <br> Attorney | Delegates <br> Supporting the <br> Offender |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-Violent | 0 | 32 | 12 |
| Violent | 0 | 15 | 5 |
| TOTAL PROTESTS | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ |

Table 4. Conditions of Parole for Non-Violent Offenders* (n=55)

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Substance Abuse Treatment | 49 | $89.1 \%$ |
| Monthly Urinalysis (MUAs) | 48 | 87.3 |
| Psychological Counseling | 23 | 41.8 |
| Interlock Device | 14 | 25.4 |
| AG or Tribal Batterer's Program | 6 | 10.9 |
| Sober Living Facility | 5 | 9.1 |
| Cognitive Behavioral Treatment | 4 | 7.3 |
| Community Level Work Release | 3 | 5.4 |
| Battle Buddies | 2 | 5.4 |
| Tribal Re-Entry Program | 1 | 3.6 |
| Anger Management | 1 | 1.8 |
| Education/GED | 1 | 1.8 |
| Residential Substance Abuse Treatment | 1 | 1.8 |
| Restitution | 1 | 1.8 |
| Changing Attitudes to Change Habits | 1 | 1.8 |
| Regimented Treatment Program | 2 | 1.8 |

*The Board may impose or recommend stipulations, conditions, and/or limitations in the granting or the recommendation of parole. These may include programs, services and/or limitations. Stipulations must be completed prior to release from prison. Conditions must be completed post- release while on parole. Limitations may include urinalysis or interlock. Offenders may be ordered to more than one stipulation, condition and/or limitation of parole.

Table 5. Number of Conditions of Parole per Non-Violent Offender (n=55)

|  | Number | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Zero | 6 | $10.9 \%$ |
| One | 0 | 0.0 |
| Two | 11 | 20.0 |
| Three | 18 | 32.7 |
| Four | 14 | 25.4 |
| Five | 5 | 9.1 |
| Six | 1 | 1.8 |
| Total | 55 | $100 \%$ |
| Average Number of Conditions (per offender) | 3.0 |  |
| Range | 6 |  |

